

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

90.

RA 46/2024 WITH MA 4026/2024 in OA 2987/2022

Union of India & Ors. .... Applicant  
Versus  
MWO (HFO) Ram Kishan (Retd) .... Respondents  
For Applicant : Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate  
For Respondents : Mr. Manoj Kr Gupta, Advocate

WITH

91.

MA 5232/2023 IN OA 2987/2022

MWO (HFO) Ram Kishan (Retd) .... Applicant  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents  
For Applicant : Mr. Manoj Kr Gupta, Advocate  
For Respondents : Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

**ORDER**  
25.10.2024

RA 46/2024

The applicants - Union of India (respondents in OA) has filed the present review application seeking the recall of the order passed in OA No. 2987/2022 on 23.12.2022, wherein the benefit of increment in accordance with the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of P.Ayyamperumal Vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Ors. [(W.P. No. 15732/2017)

decided on 15.09.2017] was granted to the respondents (applicant in OA).

2. In this review application, the applicants (respondents in OA) contends that the respondents (applicant in OA) is not entitled to the benefit of increment for the period from 01.07.2019 to 30.06.2020 on the grounds that the last increment was granted to the respondents (applicant in OA) upon his promotion to the rank of HFO/HFL on 26<sup>th</sup> January/15<sup>th</sup> August. However, the year in which this increment was granted to the applicant has not been specified in the pleadings.

3. The applicants (respondents in OA) are directed to file a clarification regarding the date of promotion along with year when the increment was granted to the respondent (applicant in OA) as referred to in Para 4(d) of the pleadings.

4. List again on **20.11.2024**.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON**

**[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]  
MEMBER (A)**